REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE WEBSTER COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2009 TAXES

For The Period May 1, 2009 Through April 15, 2010



CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.auditor.ky.gov

209 ST. CLAIR STREET FRANKFORT, KY 40601-1817 TELEPHONE 502.564.5841 FACSIMILE 502.564.2912

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE WEBSTER COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2009 TAXES

For The Period May 1, 2009 Through April 15, 2010

The Auditor of Public Accounts has completed the audit of the Sheriff's Settlement - 2009 Taxes for Webster County Sheriff for the period May 1, 2009 through April 15, 2010. We have issued an unqualified opinion on the financial statement taken as a whole. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement is presented fairly in all material respects.

Financial Condition:

The Sheriff collected taxes of \$5,588,969 for the districts for 2009 taxes, retaining commissions of \$217,862 to operate the Sheriff's office. The Sheriff distributed taxes of \$5,361,369 to the districts for 2009 taxes. Taxes of \$553 are due to the districts from the Sheriff and refunds of \$24 are due to the Sheriff from the taxing districts.

Report Comment:

2009-01 The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Deposits:

The Sheriff's deposits were insured and collateralized by bank securities.

<u>CONTENTS</u> PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2009 TAXES	3
Notes To Financial Statement	5
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON	
COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	9
COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION	13



CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Steven L. Beshear, Governor
Lori H. Flanery, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable James R. Townsend, Webster County Judge/Executive
Honorable Frankie Springfield, Webster County Sheriff
Members of the Webster County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the Webster County Sheriff's Settlement - 2009 Taxes for the period May 1, 2009 through April 15, 2010. This tax settlement is the responsibility of the Webster County Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Audit Guide for Sheriff's Tax Settlements issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the Sheriff's office prepares the financial statement in accordance with the modified cash basis, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the Webster County Sheriff's taxes charged, credited, and paid for the period May 1, 2009 through April 15, 2010, in conformity with the modified cash basis of accounting.

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued our report dated May 20, 2011 on our consideration of the Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.



To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Steven L. Beshear, Governor
Lori H. Flanery, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable James R. Townsend, Webster County Judge/Executive
Honorable Frankie Springfield, Webster County Sheriff
Members of the Webster County Fiscal Court

Based on the results of our audit, we present the accompanying comment and recommendation, included herein, which discusses the following report comment:

2009-01 The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

Auditor of Public Accounts

May 20, 2011

WEBSTER COUNTY FRANKIE SPRINGFIELD, SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2009 TAXES

For The Period May 1, 2009 Through April 15, 2010

α .	•	è
Sne	C1a	
DID	C Ia	

Charges	Co	unty Taxes	Tax	ing Districts	Sc	hool Taxes	 State Taxes
Real Estate	\$	612,967	\$	765,879	\$	1,618,234	\$ 428,730
Tangible Personal Property		150,455		207,371		390,674	393,749
Fire Protection		1,243					
Increases Through Exonerations		59		76		156	41
Franchise Taxes		185,980		275,503		488,374	
Additional Billings		861		983		2,263	598
Ditch Taxes				10,257			
Oil and Gas Property Taxes		29,386		38,035		77,581	20,487
Bank Franchises		54,278					
Penalties		5,009		6,064		13,207	3,620
Adjusted to Sheriff's Receipt		(2,895)		(5,183)		(1,134)	 (311)
Gross Chargeable to Sheriff		1,037,343		1,298,985		2,589,355	 846,914
Credits							
Exonerations		1,263		1,577		3,333	880
Discounts		13,170		15,583		31,838	13,291
Delinquents:							
Real Estate		18,330		20,414		48,341	12,766
Tangible Personal Property		122		135		323	304
Oil		170		220		449	119
Ditch				1,000			
Total Credits		33,055		38,929		84,284	27,360
Taxes Collected		1,004,288		1,260,056		2,505,071	819,554
Less: Commissions *		42,682		52,671		87,678	 34,831
Taxes Due		961,606		1,207,385		2,417,393	784,723
Taxes Paid		960,168		1,203,767		2,413,689	783,745
Refunds (Current and Prior Year)		1,438		3,089		3,704	978
Due Districts or							
(Refund Due Sheriff)				**			
as of Completion of Audit	\$	0	\$	529	\$	0	\$ 0

^{*} and ** See Next Page

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.

WEBSTER COUNTY FRANKIE SPRINGFIELD, SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2009 TAXES For The Period May 1, 2009 Through April 15, 2010 (Continued)

* Commissions:

4.25% on \$ 2,731,647 4% on \$ 352,251 3.5% on \$ 2,505,071

** Special Taxing Districts:

Ambulance District \$ 553

Ditch Tax District (24)

Due Districts or (Refund Due Sheriff) \$ 529

WEBSTER COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

April 15, 2010

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

The Sheriff's office tax collection duties are limited to acting as an agent for assessed property owners and taxing districts. A fund is used to account for the collection and distribution of taxes. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a modified cash basis of accounting. Basis of accounting refers to when charges, credits, and taxes paid are reported in the settlement statement. It relates to the timing of measurements regardless of the measurement focus.

Charges are sources of revenue which are recognized in the tax period in which they become available and measurable. Credits are reductions of revenue which are recognized when there is proper authorization. Taxes paid are uses of revenue which are recognized when distributions are made to the taxing districts and others.

C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

Note 2. Deposits

The Webster County Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) as required by KRS 66.480(1)(d). According to KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution.

WEBSTER COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT April 15, 2010 (Continued)

Note 2. Deposits (Continued)

Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a depository institution failure, the Sheriff's deposits may not be returned. The Webster County Sheriff does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk but rather follows the requirements of KRS 41.240(4). As of April 15, 2010, all deposits were covered by FDIC insurance or a properly executed collateral security agreement.

Note 3. Tax Collection Period

The real and personal property tax assessments were levied as of January 1, 2009. Property taxes were billed to finance governmental services for the year ended June 30, 2009. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was September 23, 2009 through April 15, 2010.

Note 4. Interest Income

The Webster County Sheriff earned \$5,602 as interest income on 2009 taxes. The Sheriff distributed the interest to the school district as required by statute, and the remainder was used to operate the Sheriff's office. As of April 15, 2010, the Sheriff owed \$822 in interest to the school district and \$1,355 in interest to his fee account.

Note 5. Sheriff's 10% Add-On Fee

The Webster County Sheriff collected \$21,104 of 10% add-on fees allowed by KRS 134.119(7). This amount was used to operate the Sheriff's office.

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	



CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

The Honorable James R. Townsend, Webster County Judge/Executive Honorable Frankie Springfield, Webster County Sheriff Members of the Webster County Fiscal Court

> Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the Webster County Sheriff's Settlement - 2009 Taxes for the period May 1, 2009 through April 15, 2010, and have issued our report thereon dated May 20, 2011. The Sheriff prepares his financial statement in accordance with a basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Webster County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses and therefore, there can be no assurance that all deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses have been identified. However, as described in the accompanying comment and recommendation, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be a material weakness.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying comment and recommendation as item 2009-01 to be a material weakness.



Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Webster County Sheriff's Settlement -2009 Taxes for the period May 1, 2009 through April 15, 2010, is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Webster County Fiscal Court, and the Department for Local Government and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

Auditor of Public Accounts

May 20, 2011



WEBSTER COUNTY FRANKIE SPRINGFIELD, SHERIFF COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

For The Period May 01, 2009 Through April 15, 2010

INTERNAL CONTROL - MATERIAL WEAKNESS:

2009-01 The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

The Sheriff's Office has a lack of segregation of duties. The bookkeeper collects cash, prepares the daily deposit, and the daily tax collection journal. She is also responsible for the preparation of monthly reports and the issuance of the monthly tax distribution checks to each taxing district.

Segregation of duties over these functions, or the implementation of compensating controls is essential for providing protection from asset misappropriation and helping prevent inaccurate financial reporting. Additionally, proper segregation of duties protects employees in the normal course of performing their daily responsibilities.

To adequately protect against the misappropriation of assets, we recommend the Sheriff segregate the duties. If that is not feasible, then compensating controls such as strong oversight by the Sheriff or a designee can be an effective alternative. This oversight should include reviewing the daily tax collection journal and comparing it to the daily deposit, agreeing the cash and checks collected with the amounts deposited. It should also include reviewing the monthly tax reports and tax distributions and comparison of those amounts to the monthly bank reconciliation. Documentation, such as the Sheriff or designee's initials or signature, should be provided on those items that have been reviewed.

Sheriff's Frankie Springfield's Response: No response.